

# Interakcije nekih plijesni i aflatoksinogenog soja *Aspergillus flavus* NRRL 3251

---

**Cvetnić, Zdenka; Pepeljnjak, Stjepan**

*Source / Izvornik:* **Arhiv za higijenu rada i toksikologiju, 2007, 58, 429 - 434**

**Journal article, Published version**

**Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)**

<https://doi.org/10.2478/v10004-007-0036-0>

*Permanent link / Trajna poveznica:* <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:163:077419>

*Rights / Prava:* [In copyright](#)/[Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

*Download date / Datum preuzimanja:* **2025-03-14**



*Repository / Repozitorij:*

[Repository of Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry University of Zagreb](#)



## INTERACTION BETWEEN CERTAIN MOULDS AND AFLATOXIN B<sub>1</sub> PRODUCER *ASPERGILLUS FLAVUS* NRRL 3251

Zdenka CVETNIĆ and Stjepan PEPELJNJAK

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Zagreb,  
Zagreb, Croatia

Received in June 2007

Accepted in October 2007

The objective of this study was to evaluate biotic interaction between some mould species and active producer of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> *Aspergillus flavus* NRRL 3251, co-cultured in yeast-extract sucrose (YES) broth. Twenty-five mould strains of *Alternaria* spp., *Cladosporium* spp., *Mucor* spp., *A. flavus* and *A. niger*, used as biocompetitive agents, were isolated from outdoor and indoor airborne fungi, scrapings of mouldy household walls, and from stored and post-harvest maize. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> was extracted from mould biomasses with chloroform and detected using the multitoxin TLC method. The results confirm antagonistic interaction between all strains tested. With *Alternaria* spp. and *Cladosporium* spp., aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production decreased 100 %, compared to detection in a single culture of *A. flavus* NRRL 3251 ( $C_{\text{mean}} = 18.7 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). In mixed cultures with *Mucor* spp., aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> levels dropped to (5.6-9.3)  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , and the inhibition was from 50 % to 70 %. Four of five aflatoxin non-producing strains of *A. flavus* interfered with aflatoxin production in mixed culture, and reduced AFB<sub>1</sub> productivity by 100 %. One strain showed a lower efficacy in inhibiting AFB<sub>1</sub> production (80 %) with a detectable amount of AFB<sub>1</sub> 3.7  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  when compared to control. A decrease in toxin production was also observed in dual cultivation with *A. niger* strains. It resulted in 100 % reduction in three strains, 90 % reduction in one strain ( $C_{\text{mean}} = 1.9 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) and 80 % reduction in one strain ( $C_{\text{mean}} = 3.7 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) inhibition.

**KEY WORDS:** biosynthesis, biological control, mixed cultures, mycotoxins

*Aspergillus flavus*, *A. parasiticus*, *A. niger* and a few other *Aspergilli* which produce aflatoxins (AFs) are closely related omnipresent microfungi that contaminate seeds and plant debris of many crops in the field during harvest, storage, and processing. Aflatoxins are highly toxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic, teratogenic and immunosuppressive compounds, and their presence in the food chain is potentially hazardous to human and animal health. Aflatoxins B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>1</sub>, and G<sub>2</sub> are classified as Group I human carcinogens (1). Possible presence of highly toxic and carcinogenic mycotoxins in foods and foodstuffs has led to extensive research involving methods for inhibiting the synthesis of mycotoxins and of aflatoxin in particular. Mycotoxin production during storage can

be stopped by reducing mould growth, and various chemical and physical control methods have been developed to remove mycotoxins from foods and feeds (2). However, these methods are not always economical and their success varies. Therefore, there has been an increasing interest in identifying naturally occurring compounds that would limit the growth of aflatoxigenic fungi and/or their toxin production.

Another goal of research was to develop successful strategies for the use of biocontrol agents to protect crops from toxigenic fungal attack. Antifungal abilities of some beneficial microbes have been known for long, but they are only now being widely studied to used commercially. Alternatively, a number of microbes (lactic acid bacteria, *Bacillus* sp., and

saprophytic yeasts) or their enzymes were screened to select an organism suitable for detoxification of mycotoxins (3-6). The ability of several fungal cultures to prevent aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> synthesis in a liquid medium has also been reported. Among these *Phoma*, *Mucor*, *Rhizopus*, *Alternaria* and *Trichoderma* species, consistently reduced aflatoxins by 90 % or more (7-10). One strategy that has greatly reduced mycotoxin contamination in grains is the biocontrol strategy which applies non-mycotoxin-producing strains (atoxicogenic strains) of the same species that competitively exclude mycotoxin producers in agricultural environments and thereby reduce AF contamination (11-13). All this inhibition may result from many factors, including competition for space and nutrients in general, competition for nutrients required for aflatoxin production, but not for growth, and production of anti-aflatoxicogenic metabolites by

co-existing microorganisms. Aflatoxin production is affected by many abiotic and biotic factors which are relatively less known.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the biotic interaction between twenty-five indigenous strains of various moulds in respect to their ability to prevent mycotoxin production by the strain *A. flavus* NRRL 3251, co-cultured in liquid medium.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Strains

*Aspergillus flavus* Link ex Fres. NRRL 3251 as an active producer of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), and twenty-five tested strains of *Alternaria* spp., *Cladosporium* spp., *Mucor* spp., *A. flavus* and *A. niger*, used as

Table 1 Mould cultures isolated from various sources

	Isolate number	Mould species	Source
1	8972	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	wall scraping
2	9009	<i>A. alternata</i>	wall scraping
3	8910	<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	outdoor air
4	8658	<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	stored maize
5	8466	<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	stored maize
6	8984	<i>Cladosporium</i> sp.	wall scraping
7	8985	<i>Cladosporium</i> sp.	wall scraping
8	9052	<i>Cladosporium</i> sp.	outdoor air
9	8856	<i>Cladosporium</i> sp.	indoor air
10	8254	<i>Cladosporium</i> sp.	indoor air
11	8988	<i>Mucor</i> sp.	wall scraping
12	9002	<i>Mucor</i> sp.	wall scraping
13	8446	<i>Mucor</i> sp.	post- harvest maize
14	8449	<i>Mucor</i> sp.	post-harvest maize
15	8466	<i>Mucor</i> sp.	post-harvest maize
16	23. VII	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	outdoor air
17	20. VI	<i>A. flavus</i>	mountain air
18	8. VI	<i>A. flavus</i>	outdoor air
19	8. VII	<i>A. flavus</i>	outdoor air
20	17. VII	<i>A. flavus</i>	outdoor air
21	8998	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	wall scraping
22	9021	<i>A. niger</i>	wall scraping
23	V7I	<i>A. niger</i>	outdoor air
24	V2III	<i>A. niger</i>	outdoor air
25	ATCC 16404	<i>A. niger</i>	*
	NRRL 3251	<i>A. flavus</i>	reference culture**

\*America Type Culture Collection (Rockville, Maryland, USA)

\*\*Northern Regional Research Laboratory, Peoria

biocompetitive agents in this study, were obtained from the culture collection of our laboratory (Table 1). Some strains were naturally occurring isolates from airborne outdoor and indoor fungi, scrapings from mouldy household walls, or from stored and post-harvest maize. Cultures were identified from their macro- and microscopic morphology according to keys after subculture on Czapek, Sabouraud or Potato dextrose agar (14, 15).

#### *In vitro* aflatoxin production

Before starting with the *in vitro* interaction experiments, the reference culture *A. flavus* NRRL 3251 was characterised concerning its aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production which was carried out in duplicate on Erlenmeyer flasks containing yeast-extract sucrose (YES) medium. *A. flavus* (five strains) and *A. niger* (five strains) were previously confirmed by biosynthesis and thin layer chromatography (TLC) as non-producers of AFB<sub>1</sub>. Cultures of *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium* and *Mucor* species were not tested for their toxigenicity. Interactive cultures (*A. flavus* NRRL 3251 and each of the tested moulds) were coinoculated in 50 mL of YES with 1 mL of each conidia suspension (of 10<sup>6</sup> conidia mL<sup>-1</sup>) from 7-day-old cultures grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA). Flasks were incubated for 10 days in dark at (25±2) °C, and were shaken every day. Regular biosynthesis was observed for mould growth and spore formation.

#### Extraction and Thin Layer Chromatography of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>

Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> was isolated and detected using multitoxin extraction and the semi-quantification TLC method according by Balzer *et al.* (16). Briefly, mixed cultures (50 mL) were homogenized with 50 mL acetonitrile:water (9:1) for ten minutes. From each filtrated sample, 50 mL was treated with *n*-hexane (2x25 mL) to remove the lipids. Saturated solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (25 mL) and water (25 mL) were added into samples (pH=8-9) and extracted with chloroform (25 mL). Lower chloroform fraction was treated with 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> NaOH (2x10 mL). Chloroform fraction was washed with 25 mL of water, and lower phase (containing aflatoxins) was filtered through anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, evaporated to dryness, and redissolved in 0.2 mL of chloroform for TLC analysis. The detection limit for AFB<sub>1</sub> was 2 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> and recovery 71 %. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> reference standard was purchased from Carol Roth (D-75) the concentration of 2 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>.

Fluorescence intensities of toxin spots and standard were compared visually under UV light (366 nm). Two-dimensional TLC and spray treatment of the developed TLC plate, with 50 % sulphuric acid in ethanol were used to confirm the presence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 summarises the effects of all twenty-five fungal bioagents [*Alternaria alternata* (two strains), *Alternaria* spp. (three strains), *Cladosporium* spp. (five strains), *Mucor* spp. (five strains), *Aspergillus flavus* (five strains) and *A. niger* (five strains)] on the growth and production of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by *A. flavus* NRRL 3251 after 10 days of incubation. By the final reading, all cultures showed growth, but only *A. flavus* NRRL 3251 in individual culture had 100 % mycelial coverage and complete sporulation. In general, the growth of *A. flavus* NRRL 3251 was suppressed and AFB<sub>1</sub> production completely inhibited when incubated with *Alternaria* or *Cladosporium* strains in mixed liquid cultures. Macroscopically, growth restriction and absent fruiting structures in co-cultures may have been caused by competition (e.g. nutritional) or by metabolites produced by these moulds which specifically inhibit aflatoxin synthesis. A pigment produced by *Cladosporium* is believed to have a compound which may be responsible for inhibiting aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production (17).

All treatments showed inhibitory effect on both the mycelial growth and aflatoxin production when compared to control. In all combinations, *Alternaria* and *Cladosporium* completely inhibited AFB<sub>1</sub> (100 %) in comparison with the control single culture of *A. flavus* NRRL 3251 (C<sub>mean</sub> = 18.7 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). Obviously, these moulds grown as mixed cultures do not support growth, sporulation, and toxin production, and their anti-toxigenic potential is very strong. With *Mucor* spp. and *A. flavus* AFB<sub>1</sub> producer in mixed cultures, all five *Mucor* strains also showed a marked restrictive effect on mycelial growth of *A. flavus* in comparison with growth in the control cultures. These strains were the least antagonistic to the productive strain *A. flavus* NRRL 3251, and reduced AFB<sub>1</sub> levels to (5.6-9.3) µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (50 % to 70 %).

Coinoculation with ten strains of *A. flavus* and *A. niger* which were confirmed non-toxigenic showed that they were also antagonistic to *A. flavus* NRRL 3251. Among the tested aflatoxin non-producing

Table 2 Production of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by *A. flavus* NRRL 3251 in mixed cultures with mould strains grown on yeast extract-sucrose medium (YES)

Moulds	No. of strains tested	Concentration of AFB <sub>1</sub> / µg mL <sup>-1</sup>	Inhibition / %
<i>A. flavus</i> NRRL3251*	1	18.7	0
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>Alternaria</i> spp.	5	nd	100
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>Cladosporium</i> spp.	5	nd	100
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>Mucorspp.</i>	1	5.6	70
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>Mucorspp.</i>	2	7.5-9.3	50-60
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>Mucorspp.</i>	2	7.5-9.3	50-60
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>A. flavus</i>	1	3.7	80
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>A. flavus</i>	4	nd	100
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>A. niger</i>	1	3.7	80
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>A. niger</i>	1	1.9	90
<i>A. flavus</i> * <i>A. niger</i>	3	nd	100

\**A. flavus* NRRL 3251, reference culture, producer of AFB<sub>1</sub>  
nd - AFB<sub>1</sub> is not detected  
LOD=2 µg L<sup>-1</sup>

strains of *A. flavus*, four out of five interfered with AFB<sub>1</sub> production in the culture, and reduced it 100 %. One atoxigenic strain showed lower inhibition of AFB<sub>1</sub> production (80 %) when compared with control. The amount of AFB<sub>1</sub> determined in the biomass of mixed cultures was 3.7 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> and in the control culture 18.7 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Atoxigenic *A. flavus* strains are known to vary in enzymatic activities in the aflatoxin biosynthetic pathway (18, 19). In interactive *in vitro* studies with non-toxigenic *A. flavus* strains, Martins *et al.* (20) confirmed synergic interaction and a potential increase in aflatoxin productivity. One widespread *A. flavus* strain showed a remarkable synergic activity with a competitive strain, resulting in a 106.5 % increase in respect to control average (*A. parasiticus*).

A decrease in toxin production was also observed in dual cultivation with *Aspergillus niger*. The three strains were aggressive inhibitors of *A. flavus* growth, and macroscopic observations of mixed cultures showed highly predominant sporulating of *A. niger*,

whereas AFB<sub>1</sub> was not detected. One strain led to a 90 % inhibition ( $C_{\text{mean}} = 1.9 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) and another in 80 % inhibition ( $C_{\text{mean}} = 3.7 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), primarily due to the action of gluconic acid, which is produced by *A. niger* (21-23).

## CONCLUSION

In our study, a number of filamentous fungi of four genera, *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium*, *Mucor* and *Aspergillus*, showed to be antagonistic to the reference toxigenic strain of *A. flavus* NRRL 3251, and had the potential to inhibit its AFB<sub>1</sub> production. Of 25 mould strains assayed, 68 % reduced AFB<sub>1</sub> production of the highly toxigenic *A. flavus* by 100 % while 32 % showed AFB<sub>1</sub> inhibition from 90 % to 50 %. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production in all mixed cultures was lower (between 1.9 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> to 9.3 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) than in control cultures (*A. flavus* NRRL 3251,  $C_{\text{max}} = 18.7 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ).

It is evident that certain moulds isolated from the environment can effectively control the mycelial growth of aflatoxigenic strains, and may be considered effective AFB<sub>1</sub> inhibitors, as they are able to reduce AFB<sub>1</sub> production from 50 % to 100 %. These mould strains may prove useful in limiting or preventing toxigenesis and in removing toxigenic strains.

## REFERENCES

1. Eaton LD, Gallagher EP. Mechanisms of aflatoxin carcinogenesis. *Annu Rev Pharmacol* 1994;34:135-72.
2. Peraica M, Domijan AM, Jurjević Ž, Cvjetković B. Prevention of exposure to mycotoxins from food and feed. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol* 2002;53:229-37.
3. Gourama H, Bullerman LB. Inhibition of growth and aflatoxin production of *Aspergillus flavus* by *Lactobacillus* species. *J Food Protect* 1995;58:1249-56.
4. Munimbazi C, Bullerman Lloyd B. Inhibition of aflatoxin production of *Aspergillus parasiticus* NRRL 2999 by *Bacillus pumilus*. *Mycopathologia* 1998;140:163-9.
5. Hua SST. Potential use of saprophytic yeast to reduce populations of *Aspergillus flavus* in almond and pistachio orchards. In: Battle I, Hormaza I, Espiau MT, editors. Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> International Symposium of Pistachio and Almond; 20-24 May 2001; Zaragoza, Spain; Leuven: International Society for Horticultural Science; Acta Horticulture, No. 591. 2002. p. 527-30.
6. Druvefors UÅ, Passoth V, Schnurer J. Nutrient effect on biocontrol of *Penicillium roqueforti* by *Pichia anomala* J121 during airtight storage of wheat. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005;71:1865-9.
7. Zuber MS, Lillehoj EB. Aflatoxin contamination in maize and its biocontrol. In: Mukerji KG, Garg KL, editors. Biocontrol of Plant Diseases. New Delhi: CBS Publishers; 1993. p. 86-102.
8. Calistru C, McLean M, Berjak P. In vitro studies of the potential for biological control of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Fusarium moniliforme* by *Trichoderma* species. 2. A study of the production of extracellular metabolites by *Trichoderma* species. *Mycopathologia* 1997;137:115-24.
9. Aziz NH, Shahin AA. Influence of other fungi on aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus* in maize kernels. *J Food Safety* 1997;17:113-23.
10. Shantha T. Fungal degradation of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>. *Nat Toxins* 1999;7:175-78.
11. Garber RK, Cotty PJ. Formation of sclerotia and aflatoxins in developing cotton bolls infected by the S strain of *Aspergillus flavus* and potential for biocontrol with an atoxigenic strain. *Phytopathologia* 1997;87:940-5.
12. Dorner JW, Cole RJ. Effect of application of nontoxigenic strains of *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* on subsequent aflatoxin contamination of peanuts in storage. *J Stored Prod Res* 2002;38:329-39.
13. Dorner JW, Cole RJ, Connick WJ, Daigle DJ, McGuire MR, Shasha BS. Evaluation of biological control formulations to reduce aflatoxin contamination in peanuts. *Biocontrol* 2003;26:318-24.
14. Samson RA, Hoekstra ES, Van Oorschot CAN. Introduction to Food Borne Fungi. Utrecht: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures;1981.
15. de Hoog GS, Guarro J, Gene J, Figuera MJ. Atlas of Clinical Fungi. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Utrecht: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures; 2000.
16. Balzer I, Bogdanić Č, Pepeljnjak S. Rapid thin layer chromatographic method for determining aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, ochratoxin A and zearalenone in corn. *J Assoc Off Anal Chem* 1978;61:584-5.
17. Lauzon RD, Mabesa RC, Villaralbo-Sumague J. Inhibition of aflatoxin contamination in rice by *Cladosporium Fulvum*. *ACIAR Technol Rep Series* 1996;37:61-5.
18. Cotty PJ, Bayman P. Competitive exclusion of a toxigenic strain of *Aspergillus flavus* by an atoxigenic strain. *Phytopathologia* 1993;83:1283-7.
19. Cotty PJ, Bhatnagar D. Variability among atoxigenic *Aspergillus flavus* strains in ability to prevent aflatoxin contamination and production of aflatoxin biosynthetic pathway enzymes. *Appl Environ Microb* 1994;60:2248-51.
20. Martins M, Martins mL, Bernardo FA. Interaction of strains of non-toxigenic *Aspergillus flavus* with *Aspergillus parasiticus* on aflatoxin production. *Braz J Vet Res Anim Sci* 2000;37:439-43.
21. Shantha T, Rati ER, Shankar TNB. Behavior of *Aspergillus flavus* in presence of *Aspergillus niger* during biosynthesis of aflatoxin B. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 1990;58:121-7.
22. Choudhary AK, Mor S, Singh K. Biodegradation of aflatoxin by *Aspergillus niger*. *India J Anim Sci* 2001;31:53-7.
23. Krishnamurthy YL, Shashikala J. Inhibition of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production of *Aspergillus flavus*, isolated from soybean seeds by certain natural plant. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2006;43:469-74.

**Sažetak**INTERAKCIJE NEKIH PLJESNI I AFLATOKSINOGENOG SOJA *Aspergillus flavus* NRRL 3251

Cilj rada bio je procijeniti biotske interakcije između sojeva različitih vrsta plijesni i kontrolnog soja *Aspergillus flavus* NRRL 3251, producenta aflatoksina B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>).

Inhibitorno djelovanje u miješanim kulturama na tvorbu AFB<sub>1</sub> ispitano je na dvadeset pet sojeva *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium*, *Mucor* i *Aspergillus* vrsta izoliranih iz zraka, strugotina pljesnivih zidova te uskladištenog i prezimljenog kukuruza. Biosinteze su provedene u tekućoj hranjivoj podlozi s kvašćevim ekstraktom (YES-bujon). Ekstrakcije AFB<sub>1</sub> iz biomase izvršene su multitoksinskom metodom tankoslojne kromatografije. Rezultati biotskih interakcija pokazali su antagonistički odnos svih testiranih sojeva. *Alternaria* i *Cladosporium* vrste simultano inokulirane sporama *A. flavus* NRRL 3251 inhibirale su tvorbu AFB<sub>1</sub> 100 % u odnosu na dokazani toksin u kontrolnoj biosintezi (konc. 18,7 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). U miješanim kulturama vrstama roda *Mucor* dokazane su padajuće koncentracije AFB<sub>1</sub> (9,3 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 7,5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> i 5,6 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>), odnosno inhibicija tvorbe toksina 50 % do 70 %. Atoksinogeni sojevi *A. flavus* inhibirali su tvorbu AFB<sub>1</sub> 80 % (1 soj, konc. 3,7 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) i 100 % (4 soja). Antagonističko djelovanje prema toksinogenom soju, smanjujući tvorbu AFB<sub>1</sub> u rasponu 80 % do 100 % (konc. 1,9 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> i 3,7 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>), dokazano je u uzgojnim biosintezama s *A. niger*.

**KLJUČNE RIJEČI:** biosinteza, biološka kontrola, miješane kulture, mikotoksini

## CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Zdenka Cvetnić  
Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy  
and Biochemistry, University of Zagreb,  
Schrottova 39, HR-10000, Zagreb, Croatia  
E-mail: [cvetnic@pharma.hr](mailto:cvetnic@pharma.hr)